Journal of English Education and Linguistics

Vol. 2 No. 1, January 2024, p. 10 – 19 Available online http://jurnal.una.ac.id/index.php/jeeli/index

THE EFFECT OF USING PICTURE MEDIA ON STUDENTS' WRITING SKILL OF DESCRIPTIVE TEXT AT GRADE X OF SMA SWASTA DAERAH AIR JOMAN IN ACADEMIC YEAR 2022/2023

Agustina Nasution¹, Susi Masniari Nasution²

^{1,2} Program Studi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris, Universitas Asahan

email: nst16tina@gmail.com1,

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to find out the effect of using picture media on students' writing skill of descriptive text at Grade X of SMA Swasta Daerah Air Joman In Academic Year 2022/2023. The population of this research is the X grade a students of Smasda Air Joman which consist of 3 classes. The research employs a quantitative approach with a quasi-experimental research design. The subjects in this study are divided into two groups: experimental and control group. The researchers are given pre-test, treatment and post-test in two classes, Sample in this research selects X IPA 1 as the experimental group and X IPA 2 as the control group. The experimental group in X-1 consists of 30 students, while the control group in X-2 consists of 30 students. As a result, the total sample size for this research are 60 students.in relation to the pre-test, the t-test calculation shows that the t_{value} in both posttest (3.898) are higher than the t_{table} (1.701) in the significance level of 0.05 and based on the hypotheses testing criteria, it can be concluded that the t_{value} t_{table} which means that ho (null hypothesis) is rejected and ha (alternative hypothesis) is accepted. It means the average score of the experimental group is higher than the average score of the control group which indicates that Picture Media have an effect on students' writing of descriptive texts. In conclusion, Picture Media are effective in students' descriptive text writing skills.

Keywords: Picture Media, Writing, Descriptive Text

INTRODUCTION

Language is an important means of communication which used in the world. In the Oxford Learner's Dictionary, language is a system of communication in speech and writing used by people of particular country. It plays an important role in our life. English is one of the International language, which is used by the most people in the world. Thus people all over the world uses English either in formal or informal situation.

English as a foreign language in Indonesia is taught from elementary school, it has been studying for years, but the students unable to use English in daily activities. In other word, studying English from junior high school to senior high school does not guarantee that the students will absolutely be able to use

Journal of English Education and Linguistics

Vol. II No. 1, January 2024, p. 10 – 19 Available online http://jurnal.una.ac.id/index.php/jeeli/index

English as good as possible, either in writing or spoken language. It can be anticipated by motivating and delivering an intensive teaching to the students in order to support them to study hard. The teaching of English as a foreign language (EFL) in Indonesia is intended to develop the students' ability in English of each component of the language learning those are: Listening skill, speaking skill, Reading skill, and Writing skill. Among the four skills, writing is the important skill for almost everyone who is learning English. In writing, people can express their idea or convey feeling a piece of important fluently. Writing is an effective action for the students to improve their skill.

Writing skill is often percieved as a difficult skill in learning English. Some effort have been done to solve the problem. The main objective is to make writing became easier to learn for students. In order to make writing easier to learn, teacher has to make an interesting teaching method. One of media can be used to teach writing is picture. Picture media is one of technique to get more motivated and can carry out more their own ideas. The first benefit of using picture is that it will make the students interested in writing English because picture is one of the visual teaching media (Smaldino, 2005). By using picture, students can focus in special sentences, structure and language form. It clears that picture can help and increase the students' ability in writing. In addition, variety of picture with various objects can avoid a boring class situation as well as prevent the students from getting bored.

The study will be focused on writing descriptive text. Descriptive text is one of genres which are taught in written class. In writing descriptive text, the student should be able to deliver their knowledge. To make teaching writing descriptive text easier to learn for the student, teacher can use picture to help students create their knowledge in written descriptive text form based on the picture.

Finally, this research chooses SMA Swasta Daerah Air Joman, because researcher was found that the students' ability in writing is still low especially in descriptive text. To solve the problem, the research chooses the title "The Effect Of Using Picture Media On Students' Writing Skill Of Descriptive Text at Grade X Of SMA Swasta Daerah Air Joman In Academic Year 2022/2023"

METHODE

This research was conducted at SMAiiSWASTAiiDaerah Air Joman in 2022/2023iiAcademiciiYear. This school was located in Pasar XII Binjai Serbangan, Air Joman Asahan. In thisiiresearch, the population was alliistudentsiiof class X SMA SwastaiiDaerah Air Joman in AcademiciiYear 2022/2023. This research is based on the description quantitative method. (Kasiram, 2008) defines quantitative research is a process of finding knowledge that uses data in the form of numbers as a means of analyzing information about what you want know. It is called a quantitative method because the theories provided and the data collected are more quantitative.

This designois applied to examine theoeffect of using picture media on students' writing skill of descriptive text at grade X Smasda Air Joman. The studyjdesign canobe seenkbelow:

Journal of English Education and Linguistics

Vol. II No. 1, January 2024, p. 10 − 19

Available online http://jurnal.una.ac.id/index.php/jeeli/index

Table 1. The groups of Grade X in SMA SWASTA Daerah Air Joman in Academic Year 2022/2023.

| Group | Pretest | Treatment | Post-Test |
|---------------|---------|---------------------|-----------|
| Experiment | XI | Using Picture Media | X2 |
| Control group | Y2 | Using conventional | Y2 |

Where:

X: Using Picture Media

Y: Using Conventional Way

The research gives the test to the students with the pre-test and post-test. Test that used Essay Test. Pre-test will be given before treatment and post-test will be given after treatment.

1. Pre-test

The test is conducted to find out the students' achievement in writing before having the experiment. The pre-test will be given to the group and their tasks will be scored. The result of the pre-test is considered as the preliminary data.

2. Treatment

The treatment will be conducted after the administration of pre-test. The experimental group will be taught by using picture, while the control group will be taught without using picture and only assisted by using explanations. Both of the classes will be taught in the same topic and material.

3. Post-Test

The post-test will be administrated to measure the students' competence after the treatment. Then the data's will be taken from the test. This test will be given to get the mean scores as the effect for the experimental group and control group.

After giving the post test to evaluating the students' writing product, all the answer is check and score. In relation to evaluate the students writing skill can writing skill rubric. The score about Excellent to very good, very good, good, fair, unsatisfactory. Concerning with this study, the research will be used this opinion order to know students writing by using questioning strategies.

The specific explanation was described in details in the following stages:

Table 2. Criteria of Writing Score

| Student Score | Level | Score | Criteria |
|----------------------|--------------|-------|--|
| Content | Excellent to | 20 | Knowledgeable, substantive, thesis-driven, |
| Very Good | | | and related to the chosen topic. |
| Good to | | 15 | Some subject knowledge, acceptable |
| Average | | | range, limited thesis development, mainly |
| | | | relevant to topic but lacking in details. |
| Fair to Poor | | 10 | Limited subject knowledge, little |
| | | | substance, and insufficient topic |
| | | | development. |
| | Very Poor | 5 | Does not demonstrate subject knowledge, |
| | | | is non-substantive, non-relevant, or is |
| | | | insufficient to evaluate. |

JEELi *Journal of English Education and Linguistics*

Vol. II No. 1, January 2024, p. 10-19Available online http://jurnal.una.ac.id/index.php/jeeli/index

| Organization | Excellent to Very Good | 20 | Fluent expression, clear concepts, short, well-organized, logical sequence, coherent |
|--------------|------------------------|-----|--|
| | Good to | 15 | Choppy, loosely arranged, with one main |
| | Average | 13 | idea, insufficient support, and poor |
| | Tiverage | | sequencing. |
| | Fair to Poor | 10 | Non-fluence, ideas confused or |
| | 1 411 10 1 001 | 10 | disconnected, lacks logical sequencing and |
| | | | development. |
| | Very Poor | 5 | Does not communicated, no organization |
| | J = | | or not enough to evaluate. |
| Vocabulary | Excellent to | 20 | Range, word or idiom choice and use, |
| , | Very Good | - | mastery, register. |
| | Good to | 15 | Appropriate range, occasional word or |
| | Average | | idom form, choice, and usage faults, but |
| | C | | meaning not obfuscated. |
| | Fair to Poor | 10 | Limited vocabulary, frequent idiom, |
| | | | choice, usage, and meaning errors. |
| | Very Poor | 5 | Few English vocabulary, idioms, or word |
| | - | | forms to evaluate. |
| Language | Excellent to | 20 | Few agreement, tense, number, word |
| Use | Very Good | | order, articles, pronouns, and preposition |
| | | | errors. |
| | Good to | 15 | Effective but simple construction: minor |
| | Average | | agreement, tense, number, word order of |
| | | | function, articles, pronouns, and |
| | | | preposition errors, but meaning rarely |
| | | | obscured. |
| | Fair to Poor | 10 | Major problem in simple or complex |
| | | | constructions: frequent error or negation, |
| | | | agreement, tense, number, word order or |
| | | | function run-ons, deletions: meaning |
| | W D | ~ | muddled or obscured. |
| | Very Poor | 5 | No knowledge of sentence building rules, |
| Mashanias | Evraallant ta | 20 | errors prevail, not enough to evaluate. |
| Mechanics | Excellent to | 20 | Few spelling, punctuation, capitalization, |
| | Very Good | 1.5 | paragraphing errors. |
| | Good to | 15 | Occasional spelling, punctuation, |
| | Average | | capitalization, paragraphing errors, but meaning clear. |
| | Fair to Poor | 10 | Poor handwriting, spelling, punctuation, |
| | ran to 1 ool | 10 | and paragraphing; unclear meaning. |
| | Very Poor | 5 | Spelling, punctuation, capitalization, and |
| | V C1 y 1 001 | J | paragraphing errors dominate |
| | | | conversations; handwriting is illegible. |
| | | | (Heaton 1998: 146) |

(Heaton, 1998: 146)

Journal of English Education and Linguistics

Vol. II No. 1, January 2024, p. 10 – 19

Available online http://jurnal.una.ac.id/index.php/jeeli/index

The score of the students into seven levels as follows:

Table 3. Clasification of Students Score

| Score | Category |
|----------|-------------|
| 96 - 100 | Excellent |
| 86 - 95 | Very Good |
| 76-85 | Good |
| 66 - 75 | Fairly Good |
| 56 - 65 | Fair |
| 36-55 | Poor |
| 0-35 | Very Poor |

(Depdiknas, 2006 in Hajrah, 2014)

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

RESULT

This research was taken it was only for grade X-1 as experimental group and X-2 as control group of SMA Swasta Daerah Air Joman with the amount of the students was 30 students for every class. This research was done into two treatments they were pre test and post test. The data of the students' test can be seen on the following table the raw score.

Table 4. The Students' Writting Ability Score Pre Test and Post Test in Experimental Class

| Students Name | Pre Test Experimental | Post Test Experimental |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| A | 50 | 80 |
| ARZ | 45 | 80 |
| APA | 50 | 75 |
| AT | 50 | 80 |
| AS | 50 | 75 |
| CN | 40 | 75 |
| DF | 35 | 80 |
| DA | 50 | 75 |
| EM | 55 | 85 |
| FF | 40 | 75 |
| FR | 50 | 85 |
| HR | 50 | 75 |
| | A ARZ APA AT AS CN DF DA EM FF FR | A 50 ARZ 45 APA 50 AT 50 AS 50 CN 40 DF 35 DA 50 EM 55 FF 40 FR 50 |

JEELi *Journal of English Education and Linguistics*

Vol. II No. 1, January 2024, p. 10-19Available online http://jurnal.una.ac.id/index.php/jeeli/index

| 13 | НА | 40 | 80 |
|---------------------------------------|-----|----|---------|
| 14 | KS | 40 | 70 |
| 15 | MW | 40 | 75 |
| 16 | NW | 55 | 85 |
| 17 | NMN | 35 | 70 |
| 18 | RA | 45 | 75 |
| 19 | RF | 55 | 85 |
| 20 | SFS | 45 | 85 |
| 21 | SR | 40 | 85 |
| 22 | TW | 40 | 80 |
| 23 | WA | 40 | 80 |
| 24 | HCL | 45 | 80 |
| 25 | SR | 40 | 85 |
| 26 | SBR | 50 | 80 |
| 27 | MS | 40 | 80 |
| 28 | MP | 55 | 80 |
| 29 | N | 45 | 85 |
| 30 | NP | 50 | 90 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | · | <u></u> |

Table 5. Descriptive Statisctics Score in Experimental Class

| | N | Minimum | Maximum | Me | an | Std. Deviation |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|
| | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Std. Error | Statistic |
| Pre test Control | 30 | 35 | 55 | 45.50 | 1.108 | 6.067 |
| Post test Control | 30 | 70 | 90 | 79.67 | .895 | 4.901 |

Journal of English Education and Linguistics

Vol. II No. 1, January 2024, p. 10-19Available online http://jurnal.una.ac.id/index.php/jeeli/index

Valid N (list wise)

30

Table 6. The Score of Pre Test and Post Test on Control Class

| NO | Students Initial | Pre Test | Post Test |
|----|------------------|----------|-----------|
| 1 | AR | 40 | 80 |
| 2 | AS | 35 | 75 |
| 3 | BTS | 40 | 70 |
| 4 | CM | 35 | 75 |
| 5 | DP | 40 | 80 |
| 6 | DA | 45 | 85 |
| 7 | ES | 40 | 75 |
| 8 | F | 35 | 75 |
| 9 | FS | 45 | 70 |
| 10 | GTR | 50 | 80 |
| 11 | HW | 35 | 70 |
| 12 | IFP | 30 | 70 |
| 13 | LA | 35 | 75 |
| 14 | MS | 40 | 75 |
| 15 | MSS | 50 | 70 |
| 16 | NAS | 30 | 75 |
| 17 | NA | 30 | 70 |
| 18 | RA | 40 | 80 |
| 19 | RS | 40 | 75 |
| 20 | S | 30 | 75 |
| 21 | SM | 35 | 75 |
| 22 | SAR | 30 | 70 |

Journal of English Education and Linguistics

Vol. II No. 1, January 2024, p. 10 − 19

Available online http://jurnal.una.ac.id/index.php/jeeli/index

| 23 | SAF | 30 | 75 |
|----|-----|----|----|
| 24 | TAR | 30 | 75 |
| 25 | VA | 35 | 70 |
| 26 | WKH | 50 | 80 |
| 27 | YR | 30 | 85 |
| 28 | YS | 30 | 75 |
| 29 | ZBS | 50 | 70 |
| 30 | ZH | 50 | 75 |

Table 7. Descriptive Statisctics Score in Control Class

Descriptive Statistics

| | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | | Std. Deviation |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|
| | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Std. Error | Statistic |
| Pre test Control | 30 | 30 | 50 | 37.83 | 1.306 | 7.154 |
| Post test Control | 30 | 70 | 85 | 75.00 | .795 | 4.355 |
| Valid N (list wise) | 30 | | | | | |

Based on the data, it shows the difference between students' score in experimental and control group. The score of the experimental group which taught by using picture media in writing descriptive text was higher than the score of control group which learned writing with teacher conventional way, with the experimental class score of 79,67 and the control class only getting a score of 75.00.

DISCUSSIONS

The using picture media to the experimental group and control group in writing ability assisted the teacher in creating instructional materials. Following of the research data, the experimental group scored higher than the control group and the students were able to writing descriptive.

Journal of English Education and Linguistics

Vol. II No. 1, January 2024, p. 10 – 19 Available online http://jurnal.una.ac.id/index.php/jeeli/index

Where the mean of experimental students was 0,58 in pre-test. After giving treatment of picture method the mean score was being 0,68 in post-test. While the mean of control students' score was 0,69 in pre-test. After giving treatment by using conventional technique the mean score was being 0,65 in post-test. It implies that using picture media is effective to students' writing with descriptive text.

So it is shown in the research above, the results are obtained t_{hitung} > t_{table} , namely $t_{hitung} = 3.898$ and $t_{table} = 1,701$, then 3,898 > 1,701. The significance 0,00 < 0.05. With Thus Ho is rejected Ha is accepted)

CONCLUSION

This research used a quantitative research, use cluster random sampling techniques in taking the sample and sample are students of X-1 and X-2 at SMA Swasta Daerah Air Joman in Academic Year 2022/2023. In this research used pretest, treatment and post-test to collect data. Instrument of collecting data is essay texts. Based on the data, it shows the difference between students' score in experimental and control group. The score of the experimental group which taught by picture media in writing descriptive text was higher than the score of control group which learned writing with teacher conventional way. It can be assumed that picture media can give significant effect on students' writing of descriptive texts because the score of students' writing after being taught by picture media is higher than before students were given the treatment.

Then, the result (Ho) is rejected and (Ha) is accepted. It showed that picture method shows the significant effect on students' writing of descriptive texts.

In summary, there was significant effect of using picture method on students' writing of Descriptive Text at the X grade students of SMA Swasta Daerah Air Joman.

REFERENCES

Ahmad Rivai, S. N. (2013). *Media Pengajaran*. Sinar Baru Algesindo.

AliOshima, H. (1999). Writing Academic Englsih. New York: Longman.

Arief S. Sadiman. (2006). *Media pendidikan: Pengertian, pengembangan dan pemanfaatannya*. PT Raja Grafindo Persada.

Arikunto. (2006). *Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek*. PT. Rineka Cipta.

Arsyad, A. (2011). Media Pembelajaran. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers.

Journal of English Education and Linguistics

- Vol. II No. 1, January 2024, p. 10 − 19
- Available online http://jurnal.una.ac.id/index.php/jeeli/index
- Bram, B. (1995). Write Well Improving Writing Skills. Yogyakarta: Kanisius.
- Briggs, J. L. (1997). *Instruction Design; Principle and Aplication*. New York: Educational Technology Publication Inc.
- Brown, H.-D. (2000). *Principles of Language Learning and Teaching*. London: Longman.
- Brown, H. D. (2001). *Teaching by Principles an Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy Second Edition*. New York: Pearson Education Company.
- Buehl. (1996). *Evaluation to Improve Learning*. New York: Inc. Graw-Hill Book Company.
- Elbow, P. (1973). Writing Without Teachers. New York: Oxford University Press, Inc.
- Hamalik, O. (1985). *Metode Belajar dan Kesulitan-Kesulitan Belajar*. Bandung: Tarsito.
- Harmer, J. (2001). *The Practice of English Language Teaching, 3rd Edition*. Cambridge: Person Education.
- Harmer, J. (2004). *How To Teach Writing*. England. Oxford: Person Education Limited.
- Heaton, J. B. (1990). Classroom Testing. New York: Longman.
- Heinich, R, dkk. 1993. (1993). *Instructional Media and New Technology of Instruction*. Memillan Publishing.